



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/558,829

12/28/2006

Bin Xu

56815.0600

6774

30734 7590 02/02/2009
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP
WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 1100
1050 CONNECTICUT AVE. N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5304

EXAMINER

CHOO, MUNSOON

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/02/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/558,829	Applicant(s) XU ET AL.	
	Examiner MUNSOON CHOO	Art Unit 2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,10 and 11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-9 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 November 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because (1) in figure 1, the y-axis is missing unit measurement and description, the x-axis is missing number (for the unit), unit measurement, and description. There are two horizontal straight lines across this graph, the first one is between 0 and 1000 and the second one is between 1000 and 2000. These two straight horizontal lines need reference numbers and description. Reference numbers for the paths and side lobes in figure 1 are required; please clearly point out the paths and side lobes of figure 1. (2) In figure 2, please provide clear description for y-axis, x-axis, and the two straight horizontal lines as described in (1) above. Please provide reference numbers and specifically point out the first path and second path in figure 2. (3) In figure 8, Please provide reference numbers and specifically point out which line is for “ordinary method of first-path detection” and “method of first-path detection in accordance with embodiment of invention”. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as “amended.” If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement

Art Unit: 2617

sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claim 3-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (hereinafter "Applicant"), and further in view of Ohsuge, Michihiro (EP 1,126,626 A2, hereinafter "Ohsuge"), Dahlback et al. (US 2004/0042411, hereinafter "Dahlback") and Araya et al. (US 7,133,436 B2, hereinafter "Araya").

Re claim 1, Applicant discloses a method of precise first-path detection in CDMA mobile communications systems, the method comprising the steps of:

a. calculating the noise threshold for the first-path detection according to the multi-path profile;

(Applicant, background, page 2, top half: Obtain (calculate) the noise threshold of the multi-path profile)

Also disclosed by:

(Araya: Figure 19, reference SE1)

But Applicant fails to disclose:

b. judging whether there is a maximum point exceeding the noise threshold in the multi-path profile,

if yes, carrying out side-lobe suppression at the maximum point which exceeds the noise threshold and obtaining candidate first path;

otherwise, deciding that no first path exists,

and exiting the entire process of first-path detection;

c. judging according to the location of the candidate first path whether the first path is ambiguous,

if yes, carrying out correction of first-path ambiguity, and outputting the corrected location of final first path;

otherwise, outputting the location of candidate first path as the location of final first path.

Ohsuge, Dahlback and Araya disclose:

b. judging whether there is a maximum point exceeding the noise threshold in the multi-path profile,

(Applicant, background, page 2, top half: Search (determine or judge) the first maximum point exceeding the noise threshold in the multi-path profile)

Also disclosed by:

(Araya: Figure 19, reference SE3)

if yes, carrying out side-lobe suppression at the maximum point which exceeds the noise threshold

(Ohsuge, figure 12, paragraphs [0078]-[0079]: Side lobe portion can be eliminated (suppressed) from the profile peak (Applicant's admitted prior art, page 2, top half: "the maximum point exceeding the noise threshold" is disclosed.

and obtaining candidate first path

(Applicant, page 2, top half: the location of this maximum point will be determined as the first path);

otherwise, deciding that no first path exists,

(Dahlback: paragraph [0040])

and exiting the entire process of first-path detection;

(Araya, figure 19, reference SE3: When the reference path is not larger than the threshold, the process ends)

Art Unit: 2617

Also disclosed by:

(Ohsuge, figure 9: Reference s17 is suppression, and in reference s20, if the result is “NO”, the entire process end)

c. judging according to the location of the candidate first path whether the first path is ambiguous,

(Ohsuge, paragraph [0014] and [0019]: “Path is located close in one chip” and “correlated peaks (path) is narrow to cause overlap” are considered as the path being ambiguous)

Also:

(Ohsuge, paragraphs [0072]-[0074], figure 10A to 10C: in figure 10A, notice the first path is on the left, and is being detected as position 1. The paths are to be separated to perform detection)

if yes, carrying out correction of first-path ambiguity,

(Ohsuge, paragraph [0019]: “The multi-path can be precisely separated into each individual path” is a way of carrying out correction for the path ambiguity)

and outputting the corrected location of final first path;

Araya, figure 21, column 4 line 54 to column 5 line 5: H2 is close to H1 (first-path ambiguous), and H2 is masked and removed, and therefore carrying the correction as being claimed.

Art Unit: 2617

otherwise, outputting the location of candidate first path as the location of final first path.

(Ohsuge, figure 5a: in case of one path)

Motivation to combine may be gleaned from the prior art contemplated.

Therefore, one skilled in the art would have found it obvious from the combined teachings of Applicant, Ohsuge, Dahlback and Araya as a whole to produce the invention as claimed with a reasonable expectation of implementing “side-lope suppression” and “correction for ambiguous first-path” for the first path detection in CDMA.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant, Ohsuge, Dahlback and Araya as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Jasper et al. (US 005,140,615A, hereinafter “Jasper”).

Re claim 2, Applicant, Ohsuge, Dahlback and Araya as a whole disclose a method according to claim 1, but fail to disclose in step c, if the first path is not ambiguous, before outputting the location of final first path, the method further comprising:

Step d: carrying out conic interpolation computation for the candidate first path and obtaining the location of final first path according to the result of the interpolation computation.

Jasper discloses:

Step d: carrying out conic interpolation computation for the candidate first path and obtaining the location of final first path according to the result of the interpolation computation. **(Jasper, figure 5, column 3 lines 50 to 53)**

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Applicant, Ohsuge, Dahlback and Araya and have conic interpolated channel gain estimates between the pilot sample times as taught by Jasper, thereby will combine the method of max-ratio and a diversity system to counteract the effects of channel fading on a transmitted information signal as discussed by Jasper.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araya, further clarified by Applicant, and further in view of Ohsuge.

Re claim 10, Araya discloses a n apparatus of precise first-path detection in CDMA mobile communications systems, the apparatus comprising at least a module for noise threshold calculation, a module for side-lobe suppression, and a module for first-path ambiguity detection and correction, wherein:

the module for noise threshold calculation is for use in receiving the multi-path profile from the matched filtering module,

(Araya, column 1 lines 58 to 63)

Also disclosed by:

(Ohsuge, figure 1, reference 11 and 12)

calculating the noise threshold for the first-path detection according to this multi-path profile,

(Araya: Figure 19, reference SE1)

Also disclosed by:

(Applicant, background, page 2, top half)

and then outputting this noise threshold to the module for side-lobe suppression;

(Figure 20, column 4 lines 31-45: G1 and G2 are thresholds. F4, F5 and F6 are below G1 and G2 and are not considered for rake-combined. F4, F5 and F6 are masked (side-lobe suppressed).)

Also disclosed by:

(Ohsuge, figure 12, paragraphs [0078]-[0079]: Outputting the graph of first path detection with side lobes, then side lobe can be eliminated (suppressed). Although noise threshold isn't in this graph, is disclosed in Araya)

the module for side-lobe suppression is for use in finding the candidate first path

(Column 4 line 54 to column 5 line 5, figure 21: Base on Araya's mentioned second well-known reference, H2 is removed (masked) and H1 is the first path. Also note that when time is 155, it is the peak of a side lobe, and since it is not considered as first path, then it (side lobe) is suppressed)

Also disclosed by:

(Ohsuge, figure 12, paragraphs [0078]-[0079]: In figure 12, after the side lobe on the left side of the graph is eliminated, then the first path will be detected)

and carrying out side-lobe suppression based on the received noise threshold using the processing method of side-lobe suppression,

Art Unit: 2617

(Araya, figure 20, column 4 lines 31 to 45: Base on G1 and G2, F4, F5 and F6 are below threshold and not to be rake-combined (suppressed))

Also disclosed by:

(Ohsuge, figure 12, paragraphs [0078]-[0079]: Side-lobe suppression is disclosed. Although noise threshold isn't in Ohsuge, it is in Araya)

and then outputting the candidate first path to the module for first-path ambiguity detection and correction;

(Column 4 line 54 to column 5 line 5, figure 21: H2 is removed to improve first-path ambiguity detection and correction)

and the module for first-path ambiguity detection and correction is for use in carrying out first-path ambiguity detection according to the received location of the candidate first path,

(Araya figure 21: H1 is the first path and its location is noted. H2 is masked to carry out detection for first-path ambiguity)

Also disclosed by:

(Ohsuge, paragraphs [0072]-[0074], figure 10A to 10C: in figure 10A, notice the first path is on the left, and is being detected as position 1. The paths are to be separated to perform detection)

Art Unit: 2617

if there is first-path ambiguity, making first-path ambiguity correction to obtain the corrected location of final first path;

(Araya, figure 21, column 4 line 54 to column 5 line 5: H2 is close to H1 (first-path ambiguous), and H2 is masked and removed, and therefore carrying the correction as being claimed)

However, Araya didn't disclose:

if there is no first-path ambiguity, just outputting the location of candidate first path.

Ohsuge does.

(Ohsuge, figure 5a: in case of one path)

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Araya and have only one path in the delay profile as taught by Ohsuge, thereby will obtain a more stable reception performance and multi-path detection as discussed by Ohsuge.

7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araya, Applicant, and Ohsuge as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Jasper.

Re claim 11, Araya and Ohsuge as a whole disclose an apparatus according to claim 10, but fail to disclose the apparatus further comprising:

a module for conic interpolation, which is for use in receiving the candidate first path without first-path ambiguity from the module for first-path ambiguity detection and correction,

calculating the location of final first path according to the conic interpolation formula for first-path calibration, and outputting the calibrated location of final first path.

Art Unit: 2617

Jasper discloses:

a module for conic interpolation, which is for use in receiving the candidate first path without first-path ambiguity from the module for first-path ambiguity detection and correction,

calculating the location of final first path according to the conic interpolation formula for first-path calibration, and outputting the calibrated location of final first path.

(Jasper, figure 5, column 3 lines 50 to 53)

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Araya and Ohsuge and have conic interpolated channel gain estimates between the pilot sample times as taught by Jasper, thereby will combine the method of max-ratio and a diversity system to counteract the effects of channel fading on a transmitted information signal as discussed by Jasper.

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MUNSOON CHOO whose telephone number is (571)270-7140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571)272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/558,829
Art Unit: 2617

Page 13

/Munsoon Choo/
Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/NICK CORSARO/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617